

Pascal's Theorem and Quantum Deformation

FRANK LEITENBERGER

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, D-18051, Germany.

e-mail: frank.leitenberger@mathematik.uni-rostock.de

Abstract. By a transfer principle Pascal's Theorem is equivalent to a theorem about point pairs on the real line. It appears that Pascal's Theorem is equivalent to the vanishing of a common invariant of six quadratic forms. Using the q-deformed invariant theory of [1] we construct corresponding quantum invariants by a computer calculation.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 13A50, 17B37, 51Nxx.

Keywords: Invariant Theory, Pascal's Theorem, Quantum Groups.

1. Introduction

Noncommutative geometry and the theory of quantum groups present quantisations of projective spaces (cf. [2]). Less is known about quantum versions of fundamental relations of points and lines in these spaces. It is the aim of this letter to demonstrate that the theory of Quantum groups allows to quantise situations of elementary geometry.

We consider six points upon a conic. They form 60 different hexagons. For each of these hexagons the intersections of opposite lines are in line (Pascal's Theorem). In connection with this Theorem we consider the following Proposition (cf. [3]).

PROPOSITION 1 *Let $P_a, P_b, P_c, P_d, P_e, P_f$ be six points on the projective real line. Let $\{A_1, B_1\}$ be a point pair in harmonic position to the point pairs $\{P_a, P_b\}$ and $\{P_d, P_e\}$. Further let $\{A_2, B_2\}$ be a point pair in harmonic position to the point pairs $\{P_b, P_c\}$ and $\{P_e, P_f\}$, and let $\{A_3, B_3\}$ be a point pair in harmonic position to the point pairs $\{P_c, P_d\}$ and $\{P_f, P_a\}$. Then the three point pairs $\{A_1, B_1\}$, $\{A_2, B_2\}$ and $\{A_3, B_3\}$ are in involution (i.e., there is an involutive projective map, which changes the points of each of the three point pairs).*

According to the "Übertragungsprinzip" of Hesse (cf. [4]) Proposition 1 is equivalent to Pascal's Theorem: Consider a conic e with tangent t . Let P be a point outside e and let A, B be the intersections of both tangents from P on e with t . In this manner the points outside e correspond unique to the point pairs of t . In the limit the points on e correspond to (double) points of t . (For simplicity we do not consider the inner points of e which correspond to pairs of complex conjugated points of t .)

Our correspondence has the property, that three points (outside e) are in line if the corresponding point pairs on t are in involution (cf. [4]). This property allows to transfer Propositions of two dimensional projective geometry to Propositions of one dimensional geometry.

Furthermore let S be the intersection of two lines s_i , $i = 1, 2$ intersecting e . Then the 4 intersection points on e correspond, respectively, to 4 (double) points C_i, D_i , $i = 1, 2$ on t , and S corresponds to the point pair on t in harmonic position to $\{C_1, D_1\}$ and $\{C_2, D_2\}$ (cf. [4]). Now we consider six points upon e . They form 60 different hexagons. For a fixed

hexagon we denote the six points according their succession by $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_6$. (The choice of the start point E_1 and the direction play no role.) These points correspond, respectively, to six (double) points $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_6$ on t . (For simplicity we restrict the consideration to only 19 of 60 cases, where the E_i have a succession on e such that the intersections S_i of the lines trough E_i, E_{i+1} and E_{i+3}, E_{i+4} , ($i = 1, 2, 3$; $E_7 := E_1$) are outside of e . This holds for 19 of the 60 Pascal configurations). According to this construction Proposition 1 is equivalent to Pascal's Theorem.

In the following we give Proposition 1 an invariant theoretic form. We describe the points P_i by homogeneous coordinates x_i, y_i or as zero of the linear form $(0i) := xy_i - yx_i$. Furthermore we consider the determinants $(ij) := x_iy_j - y_ix_j$. It is known, that two point pairs (P_1, P_2) and (P_3, P_4) are in harmonic position if we have for the cross ratio

$$\frac{\overline{P_1P_3} \cdot \overline{P_4P_2}}{\overline{P_1P_4} \cdot \overline{P_3P_2}} = \frac{(13)(42)}{(14)(32)} = -1.$$

Furthermore we consider point pairs $\{P_i, P_j\}$ as the zeros of the quadratic forms $f_{ij} := (0i)(0j)$. Then the (unique) point pair, which is in harmonic position to the zeros of the two quadratic forms f, f' is given by the Jacobian

$$J_{f,f'} := \begin{vmatrix} f_x & f'_x \\ f_y & f'_y \end{vmatrix}.$$

The zeros of the three quadratic forms $f_i = a_ix^2 + 2b_i xy + c_i y^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are in involution if we have for their combinant

$$C_{f_1, f_2, f_3} := \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

(cf. [4]). Therefore Pascal's Theorem is equivalent to a relation between quadratic forms.

THEOREM 2 *Let $(01), (02), \dots, (06)$ be six linear forms. Let $f_1 = a_1x^2 + 2b_1xy + c_1y^2$ be the Jacobian of the two quadratic forms $(01)(02)$ and $(04)(05)$. Analogous let f_2 be the Jacobian of $(02)(03)$ and $(05)(06)$, and let f_3 be the Jacobian of $(03)(04)$ and $(01)(06)$. Then we have $C_{f_1, f_2, f_3} = 0$.*

Remark: The Theorem corresponds to the associativity law of the multiplication on the real line.

2. Noncommutative invariant theory

In this section we introduce some notions from [1]. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| = 1$ and $q \neq \pm 1$. We replace the algebra of homogeneous coordinates x_i, y_i , where i is an element of an ordered index set I by the noncommutative unital $*$ -algebra H_I given by generators x_i, y_i and relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_i y_i &= q y_i x_i, \\ x_j x_i &= q^2 x_i x_j, \\ y_j y_i &= q^2 y_i y_j, \\ x_j y_i &= q y_i x_j + (q^2 - 1) x_i y_j, \\ y_j x_i &= q x_i y_j \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for $i < j$. We define the involution by $x_i^* := x_i$, $y_i^* := y_i$.

H_I admits the action of a Quantum group. Let $U_q(sl(2, \mathbb{R}))$ be the unital $*$ -algebra determined by generators E, F, K, K^{-1} and relations

$$KE = qEK, \quad KF = q^{-1}FK, \quad [E, F] = \frac{K^2 - K^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1.$$

We endow $U_q(sl(2, \mathbb{R}))$ with the involution $E^* := F$, $F^* := E$, $K^* := K^{-1}$. The action of $U_q(sl(2, \mathbb{R}))$ on H_I is determined if we set

$$\begin{aligned} K1 &= 1, & Kx_i &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_i, & Ky_i &= q^{\frac{1}{2}}y_i, \\ E1 &= 0, & Ex_i &= q^{\frac{1}{2}}y_i, & Ey_i &= 0, \\ F1 &= 0, & Fx_i &= 0, & Fy_i &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_i \end{aligned}$$

and require that

$$\begin{aligned} K(ab) &= K(a)K(b), \\ E(ab) &= E(a)K(b) + K^{-1}(a)E(b), \\ F(ab) &= F(a)K(b) + K^{-1}(a)F(b) \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in H_I$. The algebra H_I has the PBW-property with respect to every order of the variables. For further properties we refer to [1].

We say that $a \in H_I$ is an *invariant element* if $Ea = Fa = 0$, $Ka = a$. The invariant elements form a subalgebra $H^{Inv} \subset H_I$. The simplest invariant is the bracket symbol

$$(ij) := q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_iy_j - q^{\frac{1}{2}}y_ix_j \quad i, j \in I.$$

The subalgebra H^{Inv} is generated by the elements (ij) (cf. [1], p.94). We have the properties $(ji) = -(ij)$, $(ii) = 0$, $(ij)^* = (ij)$, $\forall i, j$. Furthermore we have for $i < j < k < l$

$$(jk)(ij) = q^4(ij)(jk), \quad (ik)(ij) = q^2(ij)(ik), \quad (jk)(ik) = q^2(ik)(jk).$$

In the following we assume for H_I that $0 \in I$. We use the notations $x := x_0$, $y := y_0$. $H_{I \setminus \{0\}}$ is the subalgebra generated by the elements x_i, y_i , $i \in I \setminus \{0\}$.

We say that $f = x^2A + [2]_qxyB + y^2C$ is a *quadratic form*, if $A, B, C \in H_{I \setminus \{0\}}$ and $f \in H_I^{Inv}$. ($[2]_q$ denotes the q -number $q + \frac{1}{q}$.) The second requirement corresponds to the classical requirement for the transformation behaviour of the coefficients. As a consequence of the PBW-Theorem the representation of f with coefficients A, B, C is unique.

Remark: Alternatively, one can consider quadratic forms $f = A_Lx^2 + [2]B_Lxy + C_Ly^2$ with left coefficients. If 0 is the minimal element of I , then one can show that the right and left coefficients differ only by certain powers of q .

In the following we consider the quadratic forms

$$f_{ij} := q(0i)(0j) = \frac{1}{q}(0j)(0i) \quad \text{with} \quad i < j.$$

We obtain

$$A = A_L = qy_1y_2, \quad B = q^2B_L = \frac{-q^2x_1y_2 - qy_1x_2}{q + q^{-1}}, \quad C = q^4C_L = x_1x_2. \quad (2)$$

Now we consider a set of quadratic forms $f', f'', \dots$ with coefficients $A'_i, A''_i, \dots$. We say that a polynomial expression

$$I_{f', f'', \dots} = I_{f', f'', \dots}(A'_i, A''_i, \dots)$$

is a *common invariant* if $I_{f', f'', \dots} \in H_{I \setminus \{0\}}^{Inv}$. We say that a polynomial expression

$$K_{f', f'', \dots} = K_{f', f'', \dots}(x, y, A'_i, A''_i, \dots)$$

is a *common quadratic covariant* if $K_{f', f'', \dots}$ is a quadratic form.

In [1] we have constructed invariants and covariants by the symbolic method of Clebsch and Gordan. For two quadratic forms f, f' the *Jacobian* is given by the symbol (01)(02)(12). We obtain by the symbolic method

$$J_{f, f'} = x^2 K + xyL + y^2 M$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K = q^6 K_L &= -q^7 BA' + q^9 AB', \\ L = q^8 L_L &= -q^7 CA' - q^6 BB' + q^{10} BB' + q^7 AC', \\ M = q^{10} M_L &= -q^7 CB' + q^9 BC'. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The *combinant* of three quadratic forms f, f', f'' is given by the symbol $-\frac{1}{q^4}(12)(13)(23)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f, f', f''} = & +q^{\frac{-5}{2}} AB'C'' - q^{\frac{-9}{2}} AC'B'' - q^{\frac{-9}{2}} BA'C'' + q^{\frac{-9}{2}} BC'A'' + q^{\frac{-9}{2}} CA'B'' - q^{\frac{-13}{2}} CB'A'' \\ & - (q^{\frac{-7}{2}} - q^{\frac{-15}{2}}) BB'B''. \end{aligned}$$

In general for $q \neq 1$ the Jacobian and the combinant do not satisfy the classical relations

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f, f'} &= -J_{f, f'}, \\ C_{f, f', f''} &= -C_{f, f'', f'} = -C_{f', f, f''} = C_{f', f'', f} = C_{f'', f, f'} = -C_{f'', f', f}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Pascal's Theorem and q-deformation.

In the following we suppose that the linear order of the index set I and the succession of the points on the hexagon coincide (cf. the Remark below). We have seen above, that in the classical case the relation of invariants

$$C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}} = 0 \quad (4)$$

is equivalent to Pascal's Theorem.

One can change the arguments of C and J in the expression $C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}}$ such that one obtains 48 expressions. For $q \neq 1$ in general these 48 expressions do not vanish, they are different and they do not coincide after a possible change of the sign. Furthermore because of (2),(3) there arise no new invariants using left coefficients.

Therefore for a quantum analog of Theorem 2 we look for a linear combination of these 48 expressions. In view of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f_{45}, f_{12}} &= -q^4 J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, \\ J_{f_{56}, f_{23}} &= -q^4 J_{f_{23}, f_{56}} \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to consider a linear combination of only 12 expressions instead of 48. Therefore for an analog of equation (4) we consider the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{a_1, \dots, a_{12}} := & a_1 C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}} + a_2 C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} + a_3 C_{J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} \\
& - a_4 C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} - a_5 C_{J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} - a_6 C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}} \\
& - a_7 C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}} - a_8 C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} - a_9 C_{J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} \\
& + a_{10} C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} + a_{11} C_{J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} + a_{12} C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following result.

THEOREM 3 *We have the relation of invariants*

$$C_{a_1, \dots, a_{12}} = 0$$

if and only if the a_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 = a_9 &= \alpha \\
a_2 = a_8 &= \beta \\
a_3 = a_7 &= -q^2 \frac{2q^8 - 5q^6 + 2q^4 + 2q^2 - 2}{q^{12} - 4q^{10} + 4q^8 - 6q^4 + 6q^2 - 2} \alpha \\
a_4 = a_{10} &= 2q^2 \frac{q^{16} - 3q^{14} + 2q^{12} + 3q^{10} - 6q^8 + 3q^6 + 2q^4 - 3q^2 + 1}{q^{12} - 4q^{10} + 4q^8 - 6q^4 + 6q^2 - 2} \alpha + q^{14} \beta \\
a_5 = a_{12} &= -q^{-4} \frac{2q^8 - 2q^6 - 2q^4 + 5q^2 - 2}{q^{12} - 4q^{10} + 4q^8 - 6q^4 + 6q^2 - 2} \alpha \\
a_6 = a_{11} &= q^{-6} \frac{2q^{12} - 6q^{10} + 6q^8 - 4q^4 + 4q^2 - 1}{q^{12} - 4q^{10} + 4q^8 - 6q^4 + 6q^2 - 2} \alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

We set $C_{\alpha, \beta} := C_{a_1, \dots, a_{12}}$. Then we have $C_{\alpha, \beta} = \alpha C_{1,0} + \beta C_{0,1}$.

Proof: Applying (1) as reduction rules we can give $C_{a_1, \dots, a_{12}}$ the form

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_6 \leq 2 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_6 = 6}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_6} x_1^{i_1} y_1^{2-i_1} \dots x_6^{i_6} y_6^{2-i_6} \tag{6}$$

by a computer computation.

The coefficients $a_{i_1, \dots, i_6}$ are linear in the a_i . Therefore the equation $C_{a_1, \dots, a_{12}} = 0$ is equivalent to the linear equation system $a_{i_1, \dots, i_6} = 0$ with 141 equations for 12 unknowns. The equation system was solved by a computer program. We found that the rank is 10 and the above two parameter solution (5).•

Remark: We consider the classical case $q = 1$ for $C_{1,0}$ and $C_{0,1}$. The relation

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{0,1} = & C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} - q^{14} C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} \\
& - C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} + q^{14} C_{J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}, J_{f_{16}, f_{34}}, J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

reduces for $q = 1$ to 4 $C_{J_{f_{23}, f_{56}}, J_{f_{34}, f_{16}}, J_{f_{12}, f_{45}}} = 0$ and we obtain again Theorem 2. But for $q = 1$ the relation

$$C_{1,0} = 0$$

reduces to the trivial relation $0 = 0$ because of

$$a_1 = a_5 = a_9 = a_{12} = 1, \quad a_3 = a_6 = a_7 = a_{11} = -1, \quad a_2 = a_4 = a_8 = a_{10} = 0$$

for $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, q = 1$.

Remark: We have supposed that the order of I corresponds to the succession of the six points on the hexagon. This is only one of $6!$ possibilities. It appears that we obtain the same above mentioned 48 invariants in two cases if both arise by cyclic permutations or by inversion of the direction. Therefore it is sufficient to consider $\frac{6!}{2 \cdot 6} = 60$ different cases. For example for the hexagon (125643) one obtains a three parameter solution $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ of (6). On the other side there is no nontrivial solution of (6) for the hexagon (123465).

References

1. Leitenberger, F.: *J. Algebra* **222** (1999), 82.
2. Chu, C., Ho, P. and Zumino, B.: *Z. Phys. C* **72** (1996), 163-170.
3. Hesse, O.: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **63** (1863), 179.
4. Hesse, O.: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **65** (1866), 15.